

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/525,088	02/23/2005	Konrad Knoll	12810-00032-US	5946	
30678	7590 06/15/2006		EXAM	INER	
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP SUITE 800 1990 M STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			SANDERS, KRIELL	SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T			
Office Action Summary		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/525,088	KNOLL ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kriellion A. Sanders	1714		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet wil	th the correspondence address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Faild Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	<u>arch 2006</u> .			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 12-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyandion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-31are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nesvadba, US Patent No. 5488117.

Nesvadba discloses polymeric compositions comprising furanone stabilizers that directly correspond to those of applicant's claims. Patentee indicates that the stabilizers may be used in styrene/butadiene polymers and that the polymeric compositions may include organic phosphites, hindered phenols and/or aromatic amines. Patentee discloses the polymers in a generic sense and is therefor silent as to the shape of the polymers, however the use of any specific shape of polymer would be included in the polymers suggested by patentee, including star-shaped. The benzofuranone stabilizers are used in amounts ranging from .0001 to 0.015 weight % of the polymer to be stabilized. The costabilizers are used in amounts ranging from 0.01 to 10.0% based upon the weight of the polymeric matrix. Patentee does not indicate any specific need for pH adjustments to produce the compositions of the invention, and it is expected that none would be necessary unless such an adjustment provides some level of unexpected results. Applicant has not clearly shown that adjusting the pH of the composition during the preparation stage, by using C02 and water, provides unexpected results. See col. 10, line 21 through col. 11, line 25, col. 13, lines 20 -67, col. 14, lines 9-26, col. 17, lines 27-44, Example 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/23/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 2. Applicant argues that Nesvadba does not suggest using a mixture of a benzofuranone derivative B, an organic phosphate C and a sterically hindered phenol or aromatic amine D when the polymer is a styrene-diene block copolymer from the myriad of possibilities mentioned therein. This argument has not been found to be persuasive because Nesvadba discloses polymeric compositions that may comprise styrene butadiene copolymers comprising furanone stabilizers that directly correspond to those of applicant's claims. Patentee indicates that the polyolefin or styrene/butadiene polymer compositions may include organic phosphites, hindered phenols and/or aromatic amines.
- 3. Applicant argues that the Table on page 30 of the specification illustrates that the combined use of stabilizers B, C and D allows for the use of lower levels of stabilizer B than when only stabilizers B and C are used. Applicant indicates this to be a cost effective advantage.
- 4. Applicant further argues that Nesvadba only indicates these advantages allowing for lower amounts of stabilizer B when used in a polyolefin resin. This argument has not been found to be persuasive Nesvadba suggests all components of the presently claimed invention. Nesvadba also suggests utilizing the benzofuranone component B in an amount of 0.0005 to 5.0%. See col. 10, lines 14-17. The costabilizers such as phosphates and amines are taught by nesvadba to be employed in amounts ranging from 0.01 to 10.0 % based on the total composition. See col. 14, lines 53-55.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

X and Y references cited in the International Search Report and not relied upon herein provide cumulative teachings.

Prior art cited on form 1449 must include a month and year of publication to be fully considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kriellion A. Sanders whose telephone number is 571-272-1122. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30-7:00.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kriellion A. Sanders Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714